	Fill No FEE FAID:
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT OF MASS	ACHUSETTS AMOUNTS
ROBERT P. LARGESS Plaintiff,	DATE DATE
JUDITH A. COWIN, JUSTICE JOHN M. GREANEY, JUSTICE RODERICK L. IRELAND, JUSTICE MARTHA B. SOSMAN, JUSTICE FRANCIS X. SPINA, in their official capacities as Justices of the Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts; MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH; CHRISTINE C. FERGUSON, in her official capacity as Commissioner of the Massachusetts Department of Public Health; JUDY A. McCARTHY, in her official capacity as City Registrar for the City of Boston; CITY AND TOWN CLERKS 1-350,	Case No. O 4 - 10921 JLT MAGISTRATE JUDGE Colling MAGISTRATE JUDGE MAGISTRATE JU
Defendants)

COMPLAINT FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER, PRELIMINARY AND PERMANENT INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, DECLARATORY RELIEF

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, ROBERT P. LARGESS, by and through his undersigned counsel, and respectfully requests this Court to issue a Temporary Restraining Order, Declaratory Judgment, and Preliminary and Permanent Injunctive Relief. In support thereof, Plaintiff shows unto the Court as follows:

1. This is a civil action whereby Plaintiff seeks Declaratory Judgment, Preliminary and Permanent Injunctive Relief, and a Temporary Restraining Order. Plaintiff seeks Preliminary and Permanent Injunctive Relief, enjoining Defendants, the Supreme Judicial Court, Justices Marshall, Greaney, Ireland, Spina, Cowin, Sosman and Cordy, Department of Public Health, Commissioner of Public Health, City of Boston City Registrar, City and Town Clerks Nos. 1 through 350, their

agents, servants and employees and those acting in active concert and with actual notice thereof, from enforcing *Goodridge v. Department of Public Health*, 440 Mass. 309 (2003) so as to permit the issuance of marriage licenses to same-sex couples, or the recording of those licenses.

- 2. Plaintiff prays for Declaratory Judgment to determine the constitutionality of the actions of the Supreme Judicial Court in exercising jurisdiction over the *Goodridge* case and in redefining marriage.
- 3. An actual controversy exists between the parties involving substantial constitutional issues, in that the actions of the Supreme Judicial Court in exercising jurisdiction over the *Goodridge* case and in redefining matriage constitute actions delegated to other branches of the government under the Massachusetts constitution, thereby violating the federal constitutional guarantee to the citizens of Massachusetts to a republican form of government.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This action arises under Article IV, Section 4 of the United States Constitution, 42 U.S.C. §1983.
- 5. This Court has jurisdiction of this claim under, and by virtue of, 28 U.S.C. §§1331, 2201-02.
- 6. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391 (b). Each and all of the acts alleged herein were done by the Supreme Judicial Court, in the District of Massachusetts.
- 7. This Court is authorized to grant Declaratory Judgment under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-02 implemented through Rule 57 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and to issue the Temporary Restraining Order, and the Injunctive Relief requested by Plaintiff under Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of Procedure.
- 8. This Court is authorized to grant Plaintiff's prayer for relief regarding costs, including a reasonable attorney's fee, under 42 U.S.C. §1988.

PARTIES

Plaintiff, ROBERT P. LARGESS, is and was at all times relevant herein, an
 Complaint for Declaratory Judgment, Preliminary and Permanent Injunctive Relief and TRO - Page 2

individual and resident of the State of Massachusetts and is Vice-President of the Catholic Action League.

- 10. Defendant, the SUPREME JUDICIAL COURT, is the highest court in the State of Massachusetts.
- 11. Defendants JUSTICES MARSHALL, GREANEY, IRELAND, SPINA, COWIN, SOSMAN AND CORDY are Justices of the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court.
- 12. Defendant, the DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH, is the public entity responsible for recording of all validly issued marriage licenses for the State of Massachusetts into the Registry of Vital Records and Statistics, and is capable of being sued..
- 13. Defendant, CHRISTINE C. FERGUSON, Commissioner of Public Health, is the person in charge of the Department of Public Health, who is responsible for overseeing the activities of the Department of Public Health in recording validly issued marriage licenses into the Registry of Vital Records and Statistics.
- 14. Defendant, JUDY A. MCCARTHY is the City of Boston City Registrar, the city office that issues marriage licenses in Boston, Massachusetts...
- 15. Defendants City and Town Clerk numbers 1-350 are those city and town clerks responsible for issuing marriage licenses throughout the State of Massachusetts..

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 16. The plaintiffs in *Goodridge v. Department of Health*, 440 Mass. 309 (2003) are seven same-sex couples who sought and were denied marriage licenses.
- 17. The *Goodridge* plaintiffs commenced suit in the Superior Court in April, 2001, against the Department of Public Health and Commissioner of Public Health
- 18. In that complaint, the *Goodridge* plaintiffs argued that "the exclusion of the plaintiff couples and other qualified same sex couples from access to marriage licenses, and the legal and social status of civil marriage, s well as the protections, benefits and obligations of marriage, violates Massachusetts laws."

- 19. On May 7, 2002, the Superior Court granted summary judgment in favor of defendants.
 - 20. The matter was directly appealed to the Supreme Judicial Court.
 - 21. In July, 2003, the Supreme Judicial Court issued its decision in the Goodridge case.
- 22. In its decision, the *Goodridge* court stated that the "everyday meaning of 'marriage' is 'the legal union of a man and woman as husband and wife'... and the plaintiffs do not argue that it has ever had a different meaning under Massachusetts law."
- 23. In analyzing Massachusetts statutory law, the *Goodridge* court found that the language of the licensing statute could not be construed so as to permit same-sex couples to marry.
- 24. The *Goodridge* "declare[d] that barring an individual from the protections, benefits and obligations of civil marriage solely because that person would marry a person of the same sex violates the Massachusetts constitution."
- 25. As part of its holding, the *Goodridge* court "construe[d] civil marriage to mean the voluntary union of two persons as spouses, to the exclusion of all others."
 - 26. The Goodridge court characterized its decision as a "reformulation" of marriage.
 - 27. The Goodridge court specifically did not invalidate the marriage laws.
- 28. The *Goodridge* court stated that its decision would become effective 180 days after the date of the court's order.
 - 29. May 17, 2004 will be 180 days from the date of the Goodridge decision.
- 30. Defendants McCarthy and Town and City Clerks 1-350 will be required to issue marriage licenses to same-sex couples on and after May 17, 2004.
- 31. Upon information and belief, Town and City Clerks have publicly stated that they intend to abide by the Supreme Judicial Court's decision in *Goodridge* and issue marriage licenses to same-sex couples.
 - 32. Defendant Ferguson is responsible for recording validly-issued marriage licenses.
 - 33. Defendant Ferguson will be required to record marriage licenses issued to same-sex

Complaint for Declaratory Judgment, Preliminary and Permanent Injunctive Relief and TRO - Page 4

couples on and after May 17, 2004.

- 34. Part I, Art. IV of the Massachusetts Constitution provides that "The people of this commonwealth have the sole and exclusive right of governing themselves as a free, sovereign, and independent state"
- 35. Part I, Art. V of the Massachusetts Constitution provides that "All powers residing originally in the people, and being derived from them, the several magistrates and officers of government, vested with authority, whether legislative, executive, or judicial, are their substitutes and agents, and are at all times accountable to them."
- 36. Part I, Art. VII of the Massachusetts Constitution provides in part that "the people alone have an incontestable, unalienable, and indefeasible right to institute government."
- 37. Part I, Art. XVIII of the Massachusetts Constitution provides that "The people . . . have a right to require of their lawgivers and magistrates, an exact and constant observance of them, in the formation and execution of the laws necessary for the good administration of the Commonwealth."
- 38. Part I, Art. XXX of the Massachusetts Constitution provides in part that "the judicial shall never exercise the legislative and executive powers, or either of them; to the end it may be a government of laws and not of men."
- 39. Part II, Chp. 1, sec. 1, Art. IV of the Massachusetts Constitution provides "And further, full power and authority are hereby given and granted to the said general court, from time to time, to make, ordain, and establish, all manner of wholesome and reasonable orders, laws . . . as they shall judge to be for the good and welfare of this Commonwealth."
- 40. Part Π, Chp. Π, sec. 1, Art. V of the Massachusetts Constitution provides that "All causes of marriage, divorce, and alimony, and all appeals from the judges of probate shall be heard and determined by the governor and council, until the legislature shall, by law, make other provision."
 - 41. Massachusetts Courts are only enabled to hear cases related to marriage that involve,

Complaint for Declaratory Judgment, Preliminary and Permanent Injunctive Relief and TRO - Page 5

affirmation, annulment, divorce and alimony.

- 42. Massachusetts Courts are not empowered by either the Massachusetts Constitution or the Massachusetts Statutes to hear cases involving the definition of marriage.
- 43. Article IV, § 4 of the United States Constitution provides that "The United States shall guarantee to every State in the Union a Republican Form of Government."

First Cause of Action

(The Supreme Judicial Court violated the Republican Guarantee Clause when it Redefined Marriage)

- 44. Plaintiff hereby incorporates and adopts each and every allegation in the preceding paragraphs numbered 1 through 43.
- 45. The Massachusetts constitution grants to the Legislature the power to pass legislation that defines marriage.
 - 46. The Supreme Judicial Court redefined marriage.
- 47. The Supreme Judicial Court exceeded the powers granted to it in the Massachusetts Constitution.
- 48. The Supreme Judicial Court's violation of the separation of powers constitutes a violation of plaintiff's federal constitutional guarantee of a republican form of government.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that the Court grant the declaratory and injunctive relief set forth herein.

Second Cause of Action

(The Supreme Judicial Court violated the Republican Guarantee Clause when it Exercised Jurisdiction over the Goodridge Case)

- 49. Plaintiff hereby incorporates and adopts each and every allegation in the preceding paragraphs numbered 1 through 43.
- 50. The Massachusetts constitution grants to the Governor and Council the authority over "causes" of marriage except to the extent the Legislature has granted authority to another branch.

Complaint for Declaratory Judgment, Preliminary and Permanent Injunctive Relief and TRO - Page 6

- 51. The Goodridge case concerned a "cause" of marriage.
- 52. The Legislature had not granted authority to the court to hear "causes" of marriage.
- 53. The Supreme Judicial Court exceeded the powers granted to it in the Massachusetts Constitution.
- 54. The Supreme Judicial Court's violation of the separation of powers constitutes a violation of plaintiff's federal constitutional guarantee of a republican form of government.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that the Court grant the declaratory and injunctive relief set forth herein.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- A. That this Court immediately issue a Temporary Restraining Order against Defendants, Supreme Judicial Court for the State of Massachusetts; Chief Justice Margaret Marshall, Justice Robert J. Cordy, Justice Judith A. Cowin, Justice John M. Greaney, Justice Roderick L. Ireland, Justice Martha B. Sosman, Justice Francis X. Spina, in Their Official Capacities As Justices of the Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts; Massachusetts Department of Public Health; Christine C. Ferguson, in Her Official Capacity as Commissioner of the Massachusetts Department of Public Health; Judy A. Mccarthy, in Her Official Capacity as City Registrar for the City of Boston; City and Town Clerks 1-350, and all other persons acting in concert with them, restraining the enforcement of the Supreme Judicial Court's decision in *Goodridge* and from issuing marriage licenses to same-sex couples, from recording marriage licenses issued to same-sex couples or in any other way from violating Plaintiff's rights under Article 4, §4 of the United States Constitution;
- B. That this Court immediately issue preliminary injunction against Defendants, Supreme Judicial Court for the State of Massachusetts; Chief Justice Margaret Marshall, Justice Robert J. Cordy, Justice Judith A. Cowin, Justice John M. Greaney, Justice Roderick L. Ireland, Justice Martha B. Sosman, Justice Francis X. Spina, in Their Official Capacities As Justices of the Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts; Massachusetts Department of Public Health; Christine

C. Ferguson, in Her Official Capacity as Commissioner of the Massachusetts Department of Public Health; Judy A. Mccarthy, in Her Official Capacity as City Registrar for the City of Boston; City and Town Clerks 1-350, and all other persons acting in concert with them, enjoining the enforcement of the Supreme Judicial Court's decision in *Goodridge* and from issuing marriage licenses to same-sex couples, from recording marriage licenses issued to same-sex couples or in any other way from violating Plaintiff's rights under Article 4, §4 of the United States Constitution;

- C. That this Court issue a Permanent Injunction against Defendants, Supreme Judicial Court for the State of Massachusetts; Chief Justice Margaret Marshall, Justice Robert J. Cordy, Justice Judith A. Cowin, Justice John M. Greaney, Justice Roderick L. Ireland, Justice Martha B. Sosman, Justice Francis X. Spina, in Their Official Capacities As Justices of the Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts; Massachusetts Department of Public Health; Christine C. Ferguson, in Her Official Capacity as Commissioner of the Massachusetts Department of Public Health; Judy A. Mccarthy, in Her Official Capacity as City Registrar for the City of Boston; City and Town Clerks 1-350, and all other persons acting in concert with them, permanently enjoining them enforcing the *Goodridge* decision as a basis from issuing marriage licenses to same-sex couples, from recording marriage licenses issued to same-sex couples or in any other way from violating Plaintiff's rights under Article 4, §4 of the United States Constitution
 - D. That this Court render a Declaratory Judgment:
 - Declaring the Supreme Judicial Court exceeded its authority
 in redefining marriage to constitute the voluntary union of
 two persons as spouses, to the exclusion of others;
 - (2) Declaring that the Supreme Judicial Court exceeded its authority in exercising jurisdiction over the *Goodridge* case.
- E. That this Court adjudge, decree, and declare the rights and other legal relations with the subject matter here in controversy, in order that such declaration shall have the force and effect of final judgment;

- That this Court retain jurisdiction of this matter for the purpose of enforcing this F. Court's order;
- G. That this Court award Plaintiffs the reasonable costs and expenses of this action, including attorney's fees, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. §1988.
- That this Court grant such other and further relief as this Court deems equitable and H. just under the circumstances.

f May, 2004.

BBO# 114320

CITIZENS FOR THE PRESERVATION OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, INC.

306 Dartmouth Street Boston, MA 02116

Telephone: (617) 536-1776 Telefacsimile: (978) 470-2219 Local Counsel for Plaintiff

Robert J. Muise* MI State Bar No. P62849 NH State Bar No. 12953 THOMAS MORE LAW CENTER 3475 Plymouth Road, Suite 100 Ann Arbor, MI 48105-2550 Telephone: (734) 827-2001 Telefacsimile: (734) 998-4778 Attorney for Plaintiff

Stephen M. Crampton* MS State Bar No. 9952 Brian Fahling* WA State Bar No. 18894 AFA CENTER FOR LAW AND POLICY 100 Parkgate Drive P.O. Drawer 2440 Tupelo, MS 38803 Telephone: (662) 680-3886 Telefacsimile: (662) 844-4234 Attorneys for Plaintiff *Subject to admission pro hac vice

*Subject to admission pro hac vice

MatKew D. Staver*

Haverby RML Flórida Bar No. 0701092

(Lead Trial Counsel)

Erik W. Stanley*

Florida Bar No. 0183504

Anita L. Staver*

Florida Bar No. 0611131

Joel L. Oster*

Florida Bar No. 0659746

Rena M. Lindevaldsen*

Florida Bar No. 0659045

New York Bar

LIBERTY COUNSEL

210 East Palmetto Avenue

Longwood, FL 32750

Telephone: (407) 875-2100

Telefacsimile: (407) 875-0770

Attorneys for Plaintiff

*Subject to admission pro hac vice

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.) DEFENDANTS I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court, et al. - see attached Caption for full Robert P. Largess listing of all Defendants County of Residence of First Listed Suffolk County (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) LIBERTY COUNSEL 210 E. Palmetto Ave. Longwood, FL 32750 (407) 875-2100 are an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff are One Box for Defendant) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Bux Only) (For Diversity Cases Only) DEF DIGE **Federal Question** Citizen of This State □ 1 \Box 1 ☐ 1 U.S. Government 89 3 of Business In This State (U.S. Government Not a Party) Plaintiff □ 5 Incorporated and Principal Place [1] 5 □ 2 U.S. Government ☐ 4 Diversity Citizen of Another State

2 $\square 2$ of Business In Another State (Indicate Citizenship of Parties Defendant in Item III) □ 6 \Box 6 Citizen or Subject of a 3 Foreign Nation Foreign Country NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES CONTRACT **TORTS** 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 400 State Reapportionment PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY 610 Agriculture 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury-620 Other Food & Drug 410 Antitrust 120 Marine 430 Banks and Banking 315 Airplane Product ☐ 423 Withdrawal ☐ 130 Miller Act Med. Malpractice 625 Drug Related Scizure 140 Negotiable Instrument Liability 365 Personal Injury of Property 21 USC 28 USC 157 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 460 Deportation ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment F1 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability 630 Liquor Laws PROPERTY RIGHTS 640 R.R. & Truck ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and 1.1 368 Asbestos Personal Slander & Enforcement of ☐ 194@Wettcare Act $\bar{\Box}$ Corrupt Organizations Injury Product 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 330 Federal Employers' 820 Copyrights ☐ 810 Selective Service □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability Linbility 660 Occupational 830 Patent PERSONAL PROPERTY 850 Securities/Commodities/ Safety/Health Student Loans П 340 Marine I 1 840 Trademark Exchange (Excl. Veterans) 171 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud 690 Other ■ 875 Customer Challenge ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment Liability \Box 371 Truth in Lending SOCIAL SECURITY LABOR of Veteran's Benefits 12 USC 3410 350 Motor Vehicle 380 Other Personal 891 Agricultural Acts 355 Motor Vehicle 160 Stockholders' Suits Property Damage 710 Pair Labor Standards 861 HIA (1395ff) П Ell 190 Other Contract Product Liability ☐ 385 Property Damage 892 Economic Stabilization Act 862 Black Lung (923) Act L.I 195 Contract Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Product Liability 893 Environmental Matters 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 894 Energy Allocation Act 864 SSID Title XVI REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS 895 Freedom of 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting 865 RSI (405(g)) Information Act □ 210 Land Condemnation 441 Voting 510 Motions to Vacate & Disclosure Act. 900 Appeal of Fee FEDERAL TAX SUITS 740 Railway Labor Act ĽI 220 Forcelosure 442 Employment Sentence Determination all Access to 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 443 Housing/ Habeus Corpus: ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff) Justice 240 Torts to Land Accommodations 530 General 790 Other Labor Litigation or Defendant) □ 950 Constitutionality of 245 Tort Product Liability 444 Welfare 535 Death Penalty State Statutes 290 All Other Real Property 440 Other Civil Rights 540 Mandamus & Other 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party ■ 890 Other Statutory Actions 550 Civil Rights Security Act 26 USC 7609 555 Prison Condition (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) Appeal to District V. ORIGIN Transferred from Judge from another district $\Gamma 1.3$ ☐ 4 Reinstated or ☐ 5 U 7 Multidistrict Removed from Remanded from (specify) Magistrate Original State Court Appellate Court Reopened Litigation Judgment VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.) Suit for infringement of constitutional right to Guarantee of Republican Form of Government, Art. 4, §4 of the United States Constitution VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND TRO/ Prel. UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: □ Yes VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See IF ANY instructions): SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD DATE

RECEIPT #_

AMOUNT _

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE_

MAG, JUDGE

(Category Form.wpd - 10/17/02)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

ule 40.1(a)(1)). I. X II. III. IV. V. Citle and numb district please N/A Has a prior acti Does the comp JSC §2403) f so, is the U.S s this case rec	160, 410, 470, R.23 195, 368, 400, 440, 740, 790, 791, 820*, 110, 120, 130, 140, 315, 320, 330, 340, 380, 385, 450, 891. 220, 422, 423, 430, 690, 810, 861-865, 3150, 152, 153. Der, if any, of related called called the title and new condition between the same polaint in this case questions. A. or an officer, agent quired to be heard and quired to be heard and	441-444, 540, 5 , 830*, 840*, 850 , 830*, 840*, 850 , 151, 190, 210, 2 345, 350, 355, 3 460, 510, 530, 6 870, 871, 875, 9 ses. (See local umber of the fir	8 OF NATURE OF 50, 555, 625, 710, 0, 890, 892-894, 892-89	SUIT. 720, 730, 95, 950. 310, 371, 650, 660, hore than ols court. laim ever b YES	*Also for p	complet atent, tra elated ca In this co	e AO 120 Idemark o	or AO or copy	121 right case
ule 40.1(a)(1)). I. X II. III. IV. V. Citle and numb district please N/A Has a prior acti Does the comp JSC §2403) f so, is the U.S s this case rec	160, 410, 470, R.23 195, 368, 400, 440, 740, 790, 791, 820* 110, 120, 130, 140, 315, 320, 330, 340, 380, 385, 450, 891. 220, 422, 423, 430, 690, 810, 861-865, 300, 360, 360, 360, 360, 360, 861-865, 300, 360, 360, 360, 861-865, 300, 360, 360, 360, 360, 360, 360, 360	441-444, 540, 5 , 830*, 840*, 850 , 830*, 840*, 850 , 151, 190, 210, 2 345, 350, 355, 3 460, 510, 530, 6 870, 871, 875, 9 ses. (See local umber of the fir	8 OF NATURE OF 50, 555, 625, 710, 0, 890, 892-894, 892-89	SUIT. 720, 730, 95, 950. 310, 371, 650, 660, hore than ols court. laim ever b YES	*Also for p	complete atent, tra	e AO 120 Idemark o	or AO or copy	121 right case
I. X II. III. IV. V. Title and numb district please N/A las a prior acti Does the comp JSC §2403) f so, is the U.S s this case rec	160, 410, 470, R.23 195, 368, 400, 440, 740, 790, 791, 820* 110, 120, 130, 140, 315, 320, 330, 340, 380, 385, 450, 891. 220, 422, 423, 430, 690, 810, 861-865, 3150, 152, 153. Der, if any, of related called called the title and new plaint in this case questos. S.A. or an officer, agent	441-444, 540, 5 , 830*, 840*, 850 151, 190, 210, 2 345, 350, 355, 3 460, 510, 530, 6 870, 871, 875, 9 ses. (See local umber of the fir	50, 555, 625, 710, 0, 890, 892-894, 892	720, 730, 95, 950. 310, 371, 660, 660, more than o is court.	for p	elated ca	2] se has be	een file	JLJ d in this
X II. III. IV. V. Citle and numb district please N/A Has a prior action of the part of the case records the case record	195, 368, 400, 440, 740, 790, 791, 820*, 110, 120, 130, 140, 315, 320, 330, 340, 380, 385, 450, 891. 220, 422, 423, 430, 690, 810, 861-865, 3150, 152, 153. Der, if any, of related called called the title and negation between the same plaint in this case questions. A. or an officer, agent	441-444, 540, 5 , 830*, 840*, 850 151, 190, 210, 2 345, 350, 355, 3 460, 510, 530, 6 870, 871, 875, 9 ses. (See local umber of the fir	50, 555, 625, 710, 0, 890, 892-894, 892	720, 730, 95, 950. 310, 371, 660, 660, more than o is court.	for p	elated ca	2] se has be	een file	JLJ d in this
III. IV. V. Citle and numb district please N/A Has a prior acti Does the comp JSC §2403) f so, is the U.S s this case rec	740, 790, 791, 820* 110, 120, 130, 140, 315, 320, 330, 340, 380, 385, 450, 891. 220, 422, 423, 430, 690, 810, 861-865, 4150, 152, 153. Der, if any, of related called the title and number title and number the same plaint in this case questions. A. or an officer, agent	, 830*, 840*, 850 151, 190, 210, 2 345, 350, 355, 3 460, 510, 530, 6 870, 871, 875, 9 ses. (See local umber of the fir parties and bas	0, 890, 892-894, 892-	as, 950. 310, 371, 650, 660, more than ols court. Islam ever book YES et of congres	for p	elated ca	2] se has be	een file	JLJ d in this
IV. V. Fitle and numb district please N/A Has a prior act Does the comp JSC §2403) f so, is the U.S s this case rec	315, 320, 330, 340, 380, 380, 385, 450, 891. 220, 422, 423, 430, 690, 810, 861-865, 8 150, 152, 153. Der, if any, of related called and notice the title and notice the title and notice the same plaint in this case questos. S.A. or an officer, agent	345, 350, 355, 3 460, 510, 530, 6 870, 871, 875, 9 ses. (See local umber of the fir parties and bas	360, 362, 365, 370 810, 620, 630 900. I rule 40.1(g)). If rest filed case in the	anore than only court.	ne prior ro	elated ca in this co NO ng the pi	ourt?	een file	d in this
V. Fitle and numb district please N/A Has a prior action of the compuse of the compuse of the compuse of the u.S. I so, is the u.S. I so, is the u.S. I so all of the particular of the pa	per, if any, of related callindicate the title and notice the title and notice the same plaint in this case question.	ses. (See local umber of the fir parties and bas tion the constit	I rule 40.1(g)). If rest filed case in the	nore than o is court. claim ever b YES et of congre	ne prior ro	elated ca in this co NO ng the pi	ourt?	een file	d in this
Fitle and numb filstrict please N/A Has a prior acti Does the comp JSC §2403) If so, is the U.S s this case rec	per, if any, of related callindicate the title and notice the title and notice the same plaint in this case question.	ses. (See local umber of the fir parties and bas tion the constit	I rule 40.1(g)). If rest filed case in the	nore than o is court. claim ever b YES et of congre	ne prior ro	elated ca in this co NO ng the pi	ourt?	een file	d in this
N/A las a prior acti Does the comp JSC §2403) f so, is the U.S s this case rec	indicate the title and notice the same plaint in this case questions.	umber of the fir parties and bas tion the constit	rst filed case in the	laim ever b YES et of congre	een filed i	In this ec NO ng the pi	ourt? Sublic inter		
Does the comp JSC §2403) If so, is the U.S s this case rec Do <u>all</u> of the pa	plaint in this case quest	tion the constit	utionality of an a	YES et of congre YES		NO ng the pi	⊠ ublic inter	rest?	(See 28
JSC §2403) f so, is the U.S s this case rec Oo <u>all</u> of the pa	S.A. or an officer, agent		-	YES	ss affectio		⊠	rest?	(See 28
f so, is the U.S s this case rec So <u>all</u> of the pa		t or employee o	f the U.S. a party	•		NO			
s this case rec Oo <u>all</u> of the pa		t or employee o	f the U.S. a party	•	—	110			
Oo <u>ail</u> of the pa	quired to be heard and						_		
Oo <u>ail</u> of the pa	quired to be heard and			YES		NO	\boxtimes		
Oo <u>ail</u> of the pa	4	determined by	a district court of	three ludge	es pursua	nt to title	28 USC 4	§2284 ?	ı
20 <u>all</u> of the pa Massachusetts				YES		NO	×	•	
Jo <u>ail</u> of the pa Vlassachusetts				_6.11				مادام مادام	.4
	arties in this action, ex s ("governmental agend	cies"), residing	imental agencies) In Massachuset	s reside in	the same	division	? - (See I	Local R	tule 40.1(d
				YES	\boxtimes	NO			
A.	if yes, in which div	dsion do all of t	the non-governm	ental parties	s reside?				
7.	Eastern Division	//3/5// 40 <u>4//</u> 6/ ⋅	Central Divis			Was	tern Divis	elon	
В.	lf no, in which divi agencles, residing			tiffs or the d	only partie	es, exclu	ding gove	ernmen	ital
	Eastern Division		Central Divis	lon 🗆		Wes	tern Divis	slon	
_	e of Removal - are ther rate sheet identifying th	•	pending in the st	ate court re	quiring th	e attentic	on of this	Court	? (If yes,
				YES		NO			
ASE TYPE OF	,			_					
	ME Mathew D. Staver (-)					
RESS 210 E	East Palmetto Avenue,	Longwood El							